On the Identification of SM and Alamouti Coded SC-FDMA Signals: A Statistical-Based Approach

Yahia A. Eldemerdash, *Member, IEEE* and Octavia A. Dobre, *Senior Member, IEEE*

arXiv:1612.03946v1 [cs.IT] 12 Dec 2016

Abstract

Signal identification represents the task of a receiver to identify the signal type and its parameters, with applications to both military and commercial communications. In this paper, we investigate the identification of spatial multiplexing (SM) and Alamouti (AL) space-time block code (STBC) with single carrier frequency division multiple access (SC-FDMA) signals, when the receiver is equipped with a single antenna. We develop a discriminating feature based on a fourth-order statistic of the received signal, as well as a constant false alarm rate decision criterion which relies on the statistical properties of the feature estimate. Furthermore, we present the theoretical performance analysis of the proposed identification algorithm. The algorithm does not require channel or noise power estimation, modulation classification, and block synchronization. Simulation results show the validity of the proposed algorithm, as well as a very good agreement with the theoretical analysis.

Index Terms

Signal identification, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO), space-time block code (STBC), single-carrier frequency division multiple access (SC-FDMA), long term evolution (LTE).

I. INTRODUCTION

Signal identification represents a core process in military communications, such as in radio surveillance, electronic warfare, and interference identification [1]–[3]. For example, if the signal type is identified at the receiver, a more efficient jamming can be performed. Recently,

Yahia A. Eldemerdash and Octavia A. Dobre are with the Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science, Memorial University, St. John's, Canada. Email: {yahia.eldemerdash, odobre}@mun.ca.

signal identification has attracted increased attention in several commercial applications, such as software-defined and cognitive radios [4].

Extensive studies have been devoted to signal identification problems for single-input single-output scenarios (see the extensive survey [1] and references therein). However, with the rapid adoption of the multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology, additional signal identification problems have been introduced, such as estimation of the number of transmit antennas and identification of the space-time block codes (STBCs). Investigation of MIMO signal identification is at an early stage; for example, estimation of the number of transmit antennas was explored in [5], [6] and STBC identification was studied in [7]–[11]. For STBC identification, algorithms were developed for single carrier [7]–[9] and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) systems [10], [11]. For the latter, receivers equipped with multiple antennas were considered, which is not always a practical case due to power, cost, and size limitations. Second-order statistics [10] and cyclic statistics [11] were employed as discriminating features; however, they are not applicable for the single receive antenna scenario. Furthermore, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there exists no study in the literature for the identification of single carrier frequency division multiple access (SC-FDMA) signals, which represent an alternative to OFDM, being used in uplink LTE and LTE-A [12].

This paper fills in the gap regarding the identification of STBC for SC-FDMA signals. Different from the existing works on OFDM signal identification, we propose a fourth-order statistic-based algorithm to identify spatial multiplexing (SM) and Alamouti (AL) STBC for SC-FDMA signals when the receiver is equipped with a single antenna. The proposed fourth-order statistic exhibits significant peaks at certain delays for the AL SC-FDMA signal, whereas no peaks exist for the SM SC-FDMA signal. A constant false alarm rate test is developed to detect the presence of such discriminating peaks. A theoretical analysis of the identification performance is performed and a closed form expression for the probability of correct identification is obtained. The proposed algorithm provides a good identification performance without requiring STBC/SC-FDMA block synchronization or knowledge of the modulation, channel, and noise power.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The system model is introduced in Section II, and the properties of AL and SM SC-FDMA signals, leading to the discriminating feature, are presented in Section III. The proposed identification algorithm is described in Section IV, and the corresponding theoretical performance analysis is given in Section V. Finally, simulation



Fig. 1: Block diagram of an AL/ SM SC-FDMA transmitter [13].

results are reported in Section VI, and conclusions are drawn in Section VII.

II. System model

The block diagram of a transmitter using either AL or SM SC-FDMA signals and equipped with two antennas is shown in Fig. 1. The unit-variance modulated data symbols, which are randomly and independently generated from an Ω-point constellation, $\Omega \geq 4$, are considered as a stream of M-length blocks, i.e., the ith data block is $\boldsymbol{d}_i = [d_i(0), ..., d_i(M-1)]$. Each block is input to an M-point fast Fourier transform (FFT) leading to a stream of M-length blocks representing the frequency-domain symbols corresponding to the modulated data blocks, $\boldsymbol{D}_i = [D_i(0), ..., D_i(M-1)]$. Each two consecutive frequency-domain blocks, i.e., with $i = 2b, 2b+1$, are encoded using a space-time coding matrix which is respectively defined for AL and SM STBCs as

$$\mathbb{G}^{(\text{AL})} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}_{2b+0} & -\boldsymbol{D}^*_{2b+1} \\ \boldsymbol{D}_{2b+1} & \boldsymbol{D}^*_{2b+0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{C}^{(0)}_{2b+0} & \boldsymbol{C}^{(0)}_{2b+1} \\ \boldsymbol{C}^{(1)}_{2b+0} & \boldsymbol{C}^{(1)}_{2b+1} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathbb{G}^{(\text{SM})} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{D}_{2b+0} \\ \boldsymbol{D}_{2b+1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{C}^{(0)}_{b+0} \\ \boldsymbol{C}^{(1)}_{b+0} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where $\boldsymbol{C}^{(f)}_{Ub+u}$ represents the $(Ub+u)$th block of length M transmitted from the fth antenna, $f = 0, 1$ and b is an integer denoting the STBC block index, U is the length of the STBC ($U = 2$ for AL and $U = 1$ for SM), u is the slot index within the bth STBC block, $u = 0, ..., U-1$, and * denotes the complex conjugate.

For each transmit branch, the interleaved subcarrier mapping[1] is used to assign the M symbols within the block $\boldsymbol{C}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}$ to N subcarriers, $N > M$. Note that $N = \lambda M$, with λ as an integer representing the expansion factor, and the unoccupied subcarriers are set to zero [12]. The mapped block $\tilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}$ is converted into a time-domain sequence $\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{Ub+u}^{(f)} = [\tilde{c}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}(0), ..., \tilde{c}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}(N-1)]$ using an N-point inverse FFT (IFFT). Then, the cyclic prefix of length ν is added to the block $\tilde{\boldsymbol{c}}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}$ by appending the last ν samples as a prefix, leading to $\boldsymbol{s}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}$=$[\tilde{c}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}(N-\nu), ..., \tilde{c}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}(N-1), \tilde{c}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}(0), ..., \tilde{c}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}(N-1)]$, with components expressed as

$$s_{Ub+u}^{(f)}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{p=0}^{N-1} \tilde{C}_{Ub+u}^{(f)}(p) e^{\frac{j2\pi p(n-\nu)}{N}}, n=0, ..., N+\nu-1. \tag{3}$$

Accordingly, the transmitted sequence from the fth antenna can be expressed as $\boldsymbol{x}^{(f)} = [\boldsymbol{s}_0^{(f)}, \boldsymbol{s}_1^{(f)}, ...]$. We consider a receiver equipped with a single antenna, where the kth sample can be expressed as

$$y(k) = \boldsymbol{h}\boldsymbol{x}_k^T + w(k), \tag{4}$$

where the superscript T denotes transpose, $\boldsymbol{h} = [h_0(0), ..., h_0(L_h-1), h_1(0), ..., h_1(L_h-1)]$, $\boldsymbol{x}_k = [x^{(0)}(k), ..., x^{(0)}(k-L_h-1), x^{(1)}(k), ..., x^{(1)}(k-L_h-1)]$, with L_h as the number of propagation paths, $h_f(l)$ as the channel coefficient corresponding to the lth path between the transmit antenna f and the receive antenna, $l = 0, ..., L_h - 1$, and $x^{(f)}(k-l)$ as the $(k-l)$th element of the sequence transmitted via the fth antenna, and $w(k)$ represents the zero-mean complex additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with variance σ_w^2.

III. Properties of AL and SM SC-FDMA signals and discriminating feature

In this section, we first introduce properties exhibited by the AL and SM SC-FDMA signals, which we then employ to obtain the feature for their identification.

Property 1: One can show that the time-domain samples $\tilde{c}_{2b+0}^{(0)}(v)$ and $\tilde{c}_{2b+1}^{(1)}(v)$, $v = 0, ..., N-1$, which correspond to $\boldsymbol{C}_{2b+0}^{(0)} = \boldsymbol{D}_{2b+0}$—see the AL encoding matrix in (1) for $u = 0$ and $f = 0$— and $\boldsymbol{C}_{2b+1}^{(1)} = \boldsymbol{D}_{2b+0}^{*}$—see the AL encoding matrix in (1) for $u = 1$ and $f = 1$—are expressed as a function of their associated modulated data symbols, $\boldsymbol{d}_{2b+0}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{2b+0}^{*}$, respectively as

[1]Interleaved subcarrier mapping is considered to simplify the presentation of the discriminating feature. However, the proposed algorithm is applicable to localized mapping, as well; simulation results are presented in Section VI.

$$\tilde{c}^{(0)}_{2b+0}(v = m + aM) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d_{2b+0}(m), \qquad m = 0, ..., M-1, a = 0, ..., \lambda - 1, \tag{5}$$

$$\tilde{c}^{(1)}_{2b+1}(v = m + aM) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d^{*}_{2b+0}(mod(-m, M)), \qquad m = 0, ..., M-1, a = 0, ..., \lambda - 1, \tag{6}$$

where mod is the modulo operation. Similar expressions can be written for $\tilde{c}^{(1)}_{2b+0}(v)$ and $\tilde{c}^{(0)}_{2b+1}(v)$, $v = 0, ..., N-1$, which correspond to $\boldsymbol{C}^{(1)}_{2b+0} = \boldsymbol{D}_{2b+1}$ and $\boldsymbol{C}^{(0)}_{2b+1} = -\boldsymbol{D}^{*}_{2b+1}$, as a function of their associated modulated data symbols, $\boldsymbol{d}_{2b+1}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}^{*}_{2b+1}$, respectively.

For SM, similar to (5), the time domain samples transmitted from the two antennas can be expressed as $\tilde{c}^{(0)}_{b+0}(v = m + aM) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d_{2b+0}(m)$ and $\tilde{c}^{(1)}_{b+0}(v = m + aM) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} d_{2b+1}(m)$, $m = 0, ..., M-1$, $a = 0, ..., \lambda - 1$.

In other words, the transmitted symbols from each antenna belong to the Ω-point constellation, scaled by a factor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$; note that this also holds after adding the cyclic prefix.

Property 2: Based on the structure of the AL coding matrix and by following [10], one can show for the AL SC-FDMA signal that $s^{(0)}_{2b+0}(n) = s^{(1)^{*}}_{2b+1}(mod(-(n-\nu), N) + \nu)$, $\forall n = 0, ..., N + \nu - 1$.

Property 3: Let $\boldsymbol{x}^{(f,\tau)}$ denote the sequence with components $x^{(f,\tau)}(k) = x^{(f)}(k + \tau)$, $\tau = 0, ..., N+\nu-1$. This is split into consecutive $(N+\nu)$-length blocks, i.e., $\boldsymbol{x}^{(f,\tau)} = [\boldsymbol{s}^{(f,\tau)}_0, \boldsymbol{s}^{(f,\tau)}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{s}^{(f,\tau)}_{i-1}, \boldsymbol{s}^{(f,\tau)}_i, \boldsymbol{s}^{(f,\tau)}_{i+1}, ...]$. By using *Property 1* and *Property 2*, and following the analysis in [10], for the AL SC-FDMA signal, it can be shown that the components of $\boldsymbol{s}^{(0,\tau)}_i$ and $\boldsymbol{s}^{(1,\tau)}_{i+1}$ satisfy[2]

$$s^{(0,\tau)}_i(n) = s^{(1,\tau)^{*}}_{i+1}(mod(-(n-\nu), N) + \nu), \tag{7}$$

if and only if: $\tau = 0$, $i = 2b$, and $n = 0, ..., N + \nu - 1$; $\tau = N/4$, $i = 2b$, and $n = 0, ..., \nu$, $n = N/4 + \nu + 1, ..., 3N/4 + \nu - 1$; $\tau = N/2$, $i = 2b$, and $n = 0, ..., \nu$; $\tau = N/2 + \nu$, $i = 2b - 1$, and $n = \frac{N}{2}, ..., \frac{N}{2} + 2\nu$; $\tau = 3N/4$, $i = 2b$, and $n = 0, ..., \nu$; $\tau = 3N/4 + \nu$, $i = 2b - 1$, and $n = N/4, ..., 3N/4 + 2\nu$.

We first introduce the proposed fourth-order statistic of the transmitted sequence, and then the one of the received sequence. We define the fourth-order statistic of the transmitted sequence as

[2]Note that $\lambda = 2$ is considered in the analysis, as being a typical value [13].

$$\mathcal{A}_s(\tau) = \mathrm{E}\left\{ \left[\boldsymbol{s}_i^{(0,\tau)} \circ \boldsymbol{s}_i^{(0,\tau)} \right] \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{s}}_{i+1}^{(1,\tau)} \circ \bar{\boldsymbol{s}}_{i+1}^{(1,\tau)} \right]^T \right\}, \tag{8}$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{s}}_{i+1}^{(1,\tau)} = [\bar{s}_{i+1}^{(1,\tau)}(0), ..., \bar{s}_{i+1}^{(1,\tau)}(N+\nu-1)]$, with $\bar{s}_{i+1}^{(1,\tau)}(n) = s_{i+1}^{(1,\tau)}(mod(-(n-\nu), N)+\nu)$, $n = 0, ..., N+\nu-1$, and E and $\circ$ denote the statistical expectation and the Hadmard product, respectively.

Based on (3), *Property 1*, and *Property 3*, one can show that $\mathcal{A}_s^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau) = \frac{\kappa_{d,4,2}}{4}(N+\nu)$ for $\tau = 0$; $\frac{\kappa_{d,4,2}}{4}(\frac{N}{2}+\nu)$ for $\tau = N/4$; $\frac{\kappa_{d,4,2}}{4}(\nu+1)$ for $\tau = N/2$; $\frac{\kappa_{d,4,2}}{4}(2\nu+1)$ for $\tau = N/2+\nu$; $\frac{\kappa_{d,4,2}}{4}(\nu+1)$ for $\tau = 3N/4$; $\frac{\kappa_{d,4,2}}{4}(\frac{N}{2}+2\nu+1)$ for $\tau = 3N/4+\nu$; and 0, otherwise. Here $\kappa_{d,4,2}$ represents the (4,2) cumulant [1] of the modulated data symbols. On the other hand, it can be easily shown that $\mathcal{A}_s^{\mathrm{SM}}(\tau) = 0$, $\forall \tau = 0, ..., N+\nu-1$.

At the receive-side, the following assumptions and definitions are first introduced:

1) Without loss of generality, we assume that the first intercepted sample corresponds to the start of an SC-FDMA block, and the total number of received samples is a multiple integer of the SC-FDMA block length, i.e., $K = N_B(N+\nu)$, where N_B is the number of received SC-FDMA blocks. This assumption will be relaxed later in the paper.

2) Define the vector $\boldsymbol{y}^{(\tau)}$ with $y^{(\tau)}(k) = y(k+\tau)$, $\tau = 0, ..., N+\nu-1$. This vector is then divided into consecutive blocks each of length $(N+\nu)$,[3] i.e., $\boldsymbol{y}^{(\tau)} = [\boldsymbol{r}_0^{(\tau)}, ..., \boldsymbol{r}_{N_B-1}^{(\tau)}]$, where $\boldsymbol{r}_q^{(\tau)} = [r_q^{(\tau)}(0), ..., r_q^{(\tau)}(N+\nu-1)]$, with $r_q^{(\tau)}(n) = y^{(\tau)}(n+q(N+\nu)),\ n = 0, ..., N+\nu-1,\ q = 0, ..., N_B-1$.

3) Define the fourth-order statistic $\mathcal{A}_r(\tau) = \mathrm{E}\left\{ \left[\boldsymbol{r}_q^{(\tau)} \circ \boldsymbol{r}_q^{(\tau)} \right] \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{q+1}^{(\tau)} \circ \bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{q+1}^{(\tau)} \right]^T \right\}$, where $\bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{q+1}^{(\tau)} = [\bar{r}_{q+1}^{(\tau)}(0), ..., \bar{r}_{q+1}^{(\tau)}(N+\nu-1)]$, with $\bar{r}_{q+1}^{(\tau)}(n) = r_{q+1}^{(\tau)}(mod(-(n-\nu), N)+\nu)$, $n = 0, ..., N+\nu-1$.

By using (4) and (7), one can obtain $\mathcal{A}_r(\tau)$ for the AL SC-FDMA signal as $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau) = (N+\nu)\Psi(\tau)$ for $\tau = 0, ..., L_h-1$; $(\frac{N}{2}+\nu)\Psi(\tau-\frac{N}{4})$ for $\tau = \frac{N}{4}, ..., \frac{N}{4}+L_h-1$; $(\nu+1)\Psi(\tau-\frac{N}{2})$ for $\tau = \frac{N}{2}, ..., \frac{N}{2}+L_h-1$; $(2\nu+1)\Psi(\tau-\frac{N}{2}-\nu)$ for $\tau = \frac{N}{2}+\nu, ..., \frac{N}{2}+\nu+L_h-1$; $(\nu+1)\Psi(\tau-\frac{3N}{4})$ for $\tau = \frac{3N}{4}, ..., \frac{3N}{4}+L_h-1$; $(\frac{N}{2}+2\nu+1)\Psi(\tau-\frac{3N}{4}-\nu)$ for $\tau = \frac{3N}{4}+\nu, ..., \frac{3N}{4}+\nu+L_h-1$; 0, otherwise. Here $\Psi(\tau) = \frac{\kappa_{d,4,2}}{4}\sum_{l,l'=0}^{L_h-1}(h_0^2(l)h_1^2(l'))\delta(\tau-l-l')$.

[3] Note that the block length, $(N+\nu)$, is assumed known at the receive-side; otherwise, this parameter needs to be estimated. For example, the algorithm in [14], for single transmit antenna scenario, can be adapted to the scenario under consideration to estimate the block length.



Fig. 2: $|\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau)|$ with QPSK modulation, $N = 512$, $\lambda = 2$, $\nu = N/8$, and $N_B = 4000$ at SNR= 25 dB over multipath Rayleigh fading channel, $L_h = 3$, for the AL SC-FDMA signal.

On the other hand, by using the properties that $\mathrm{E}\{d_i^2(m)\} = \mathrm{E}\{d_i^4(m)\} = 0$ for the symbols drawn from Ω-point constellation, $\Omega \geq 4$ [1], and that the signal, noise, and channel coefficients are independent, one can easily show that $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{SM}}(\tau) = 0$, $\forall \tau = 0, ..., N + \nu - 1$.

Fig. 2 shows the magnitude of the estimated fourth-order statistic, $|\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau)|$, with $N = 512$, $M = N/2$, $\nu = N/8$, $N_B = 4000$, and quadrature phase-shift-keying (QPSK) modulation over multipath Rayleigh fading channel with $L_h = 3$ at SNR= 25 dB. Apparently, the results match with the theoretical findings discussed above. It is worth noting that if the first received sample does not correspond to the start of an SC-FDMA block, the discriminating peaks shown in Fig. 2 are shifted with the delay between the first received sample and the start of the next SC-FDMA block. Indeed, this shift does not affect the identification performance, as the statistically significant peaks still exist and they maintain their values.

IV. Proposed identification algorithm

Based on the aforementioned discussion, the presence of significant peaks in $|\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau)|$ provides a discriminating feature that can be employed to identify AL and SM SC-FDMA signals. Here we propose a statistical test to detect the peak presence in $|\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r(\tau)|$, and develop the identification algorithm accordingly. The fourth-order statistic can be estimated as

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r(\tau) = N_B^{-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N_B-1} \left[\boldsymbol{r}_q^{(\tau)} \circ \boldsymbol{r}_q^{(\tau)}\right] \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{q+1}^{(\tau)} \circ \bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{q+1}^{(\tau)}\right]^T. \tag{9}$$

By following [15], this can be expressed as $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r(\tau) = \mathcal{A}_r(\tau) + \epsilon(\tau)$, where the estimation error $\epsilon(\tau)$ has an asymptotic zero-mean complex Gaussian distribution with variance σ_ϵ^2. For SM SC-FDMA (hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_0$), $\mathcal{A}_r(\tau) = 0\ \forall \tau = 0, ..., N+\nu-1$, while for AL SC-FDMA (hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_1$) $\mathcal{A}_r(\tau) \neq 0$. We define the statistic $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ as

$$\mathcal{G}(\tau) = \frac{2|\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r(\tau)|^2}{(N+\nu)^{-1} \sum_{\tau'=0}^{N+\nu-1} |\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r'(\tau')|^2}, \tau = 0, ..., N+\nu-1, \tag{10}$$

with

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r'(\tau') = N_B^{-1} \sum_{q=0}^{N_B-1} \left[\boldsymbol{r}_q^{(\tau')} \circ \boldsymbol{r}_q^{(\tau')}\right] \left[\bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{q+4}^{(\tau')} \circ \bar{\boldsymbol{r}}_{q+4}^{(\tau')}\right]^T. \tag{11}$$

Note that the denominator in (10) is an estimate of σ_ϵ^2 regardless of the transmitted signal (there are no statistically significant peaks). Also, for the AL SC-FDMA signal, the peak positions in $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ are the same as in $\mathcal{A}_r^{\text{AL}}(\tau)$. Moreover, for the SM SC-FDMA signal, $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_r(\tau) = \epsilon(\tau)$ has an asymptotic zero-mean complex Gaussian distribution with variance σ_ϵ^2. Accordingly, and considering the denominator of (10) as a constant, σ_ϵ^2, $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ has an asymptotic central chi-square distribution with the degree of freedom equal to two [15]. We further define the test statistic $\Gamma = \max_{\tau=0,...,N+\nu-1} \mathcal{G}(\tau)$.

By using the fact that the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the maximum value Γ is the product of the CDFs of $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$, $\tau = 0, ..., N+\nu-1$ [15], we can set a threshold, γ corresponding to a certain probability of false alarm, $P_f = P(\Gamma > \gamma|\mathcal{H}_0)$, i.e., $1 - P_f = (1 - e^{\frac{-\gamma}{2}})^{(N+\nu)}$. Then, the threshold can be calculated as $\gamma = -2\ln(1 - (1 - P_f)^{\frac{1}{N+\nu}})$.

V. Theoretical Performance analysis

The identification process is done by comparing the test statistic, Γ, with a threshold, γ. If $\Gamma > \gamma$, then the AL SC-FDMA signal is declared present; otherwise, SM SC-FDMA is chosen. As the threshold γ is determined according to a constant P_f, the probability of correctly identifying the SM SC-FDMA signal is determined as $P(\zeta = \text{SM}|\text{SM}) = 1 - P_f$, where ζ is the estimated signal type.

On the other hand, the probability of correctly identifying the AL SC-FDMA signal is $P(\zeta = \text{AL}|\text{AL}) = P(\Gamma > \gamma|\mathcal{H}_1) = 1 - P(\Gamma \leq \gamma|\mathcal{H}_1)$. As Γ is the maximum of $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$, $P(\Gamma \leq \gamma|\mathcal{H}_1)$ is



(a) (b) (c)

Fig. 3: Performnace evaluation of the proposed algorithm: (a) effect of the number of subcarriers, (b) effect of the modulation format, (c) effect of subcarrier mapping.

the probability that $\mathcal{G}(\tau) \leq \gamma$, $\forall \tau = 0, ..., N+\nu-1$. Furthermore, under hypothesis $\mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ has significant peaks around $\tau = 0, N/4, N/2, N/2+\nu, 3N/4, 3N/4+\nu$ and has nulls at other values of τ. Let Λ be the set of τ values for which $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ is non-zero. According to the expression of $\mathcal{A}_r^{\text{AL}}(\tau)$, the cardinality of the set Λ is $6L_h$; as such, $N+\nu-6L_h$ points of $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$, $\tau \notin \Lambda$, have an asymptotic central chi-square distribution with two degrees of freedom, and one can write $P(\mathcal{G}(\tau) \leq \gamma, \tau \notin \Lambda|\mathcal{H}_1) = (1-e^{-\gamma/2})^{N+\nu-6L_h}$. For $\tau \in \Lambda$, the corresponding values of $\mathcal{G}(\tau)$ have a non-central chi-square distribution with the non-centrality parameter, $\mathcal{P}_\tau$, which can be written based on (10) as

$$\mathcal{P}_\tau = \frac{2|\mathcal{A}_r(\tau)|^2}{\sigma_\epsilon^2}. \tag{12}$$

For the PSK modulated data symbols with unit variance constellation,[4] by using that $\boldsymbol{r}_q^{(\tau)}$ and $\boldsymbol{r}_{q+4}^{(\tau)}$ are independent, representing them as a function of $y^{(\tau)}(k)$, and employing that $y^{(\tau)}(k) = y(k+\tau)$, along with (4), one can show that σ_ϵ^2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\epsilon^2 = \frac{N+\nu}{N_B}\Big[&\frac{1}{\lambda^4}\,\|(\boldsymbol{h}\otimes\boldsymbol{h})\otimes(\boldsymbol{h}\otimes\boldsymbol{h})\|_F^2 + 8\frac{\sigma_w^2}{\lambda^3}\,\|\,(\boldsymbol{h}\otimes\boldsymbol{h})\otimes\boldsymbol{h}\,\|_F^2 \\ &+20\frac{\sigma_w^4}{\lambda^2}\,\|(\boldsymbol{h}\otimes\boldsymbol{h})\|_F^2 + 16\frac{\sigma_w^6}{\lambda}\,\|\,\boldsymbol{h}\,\|_F^2 + 4\sigma_w^8\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where $\otimes$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ denote the Kronecker product and the Frobenius norm, respectively.

Based on the CDF of the non-central chi-square distribution with two degrees of freedom, the probability that $\mathcal{G}(\tau) \leq \gamma$, $\tau \in \Lambda$, for certain channel coefficients is $P(\mathcal{G}(\tau) \leq \gamma, \tau \in$

[4]Note that in this case both second-order/ one-conjugate and fourth-order/ two-conjugate moments are equal to one [1], and a simple analytical expression can be obtained.

$\Lambda|\mathcal{H}_1, \boldsymbol{h}) = \prod_{\tau\in\Lambda}(1 - Q_1(\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_\tau}, \sqrt{\gamma}))$, where $Q_1(\cdot,\cdot)$ is the generalized Marcum Q function [16]. Furthermore, the probability of correctly identifying the AL SC-FDMA signal for certain channel coefficients can be expressed as

$$P(\zeta = \text{AL}|\text{AL}, \boldsymbol{h}) = 1 - [(1 - e^{-\gamma/2})^{N+\nu-6L_h} \prod_{\tau\in\Lambda}(1 - Q_1(\sqrt{\mathcal{P}_\tau}, \sqrt{\gamma}))]. \tag{14}$$

Then, the probability of correctly identifying the AL SC-FDMA signal can be calculated as $P(\zeta = \text{AL}|\text{AL}) = \int_{\boldsymbol{h}} P(\zeta{=}\text{AL}|\text{AL}, \boldsymbol{h})p(\boldsymbol{h})d\boldsymbol{h}$, where $p(\boldsymbol{h})$ is the probability density function of $\boldsymbol{h}$. Finally, the average probability of correct identification is defined as $P_c = 0.5$ $[P(\zeta = \text{AL}|\text{AL}) + P(\zeta = \text{SM}|\text{SM})]$ when AL and SM SC-FDMA are considered to be received with equal probability.

VI. Simulation results

The evaluation of the proposed identification algorithm was done by considering the LTE SC-FDMA signal with a useful duration of 66.66 μsec and subcarrier spacing of 15 kHz. Unless otherwise mentioned, QPSK modulation, $N = 512$ (5 MHz double sided bandwidth), $\nu = N/8$, $\lambda = 2$, $N_B = 1000$, and $P_f = 10^{-3}$ were used. The received signal was affected by frequency-selective Rayleigh fading channel with $L_h = 3$ statistically independent taps[5] and an exponential power delay profile, $\sigma^2(l) = \exp(-l/5)$, where $l = 0, ..., L_h - 1$. The out-of-band noise was removed at the receive-side with a Butterworth filter, and the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was considered at the output of this filter. The average probability of correct identification, P_c, was mainly showed as a measure of the identification performance. This was calculated based on 1000 trials for each signal.

Fig. 3 (a) shows the analytical and simulation results for the probability of correct identification of AL and SM SC-FDMA signals achieved with the proposed algorithm for various numbers of subcarriers, N. In general, the theoretical findings are in good agreement with the simulation results. A slight difference can be observed for $N = 256$ when compared with larger values of N; this is because of the difference between the estimated variance in the denominator of (10) and the actual value shown in (13). Indeed, this difference decreases as N increases, as a better estimate of the variance, σ_ϵ^2 is achieved in such cases. On the other hand, it can be seen that the

[5] Note that the identification performance depends on L_h and usually degrades as L_h increases, especially at low SNRs. In this case, using a larger number of received blocks can alleviate the degradation.

identification performance significantly improves as N increases, as the peak values in $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau)$ are proportional to $(N+\nu)$.

The effect of the modulation format on P_c is illustrated in Fig. 3 (b) with $N_B = 2000$. As can be seen, the same performance is achieved for the PSK modulations, i.e., QPSK and 8-PSK, while a performance degradation occurs for 16-QAM and 64-QAM modulations. The dependence of the proposed algorithm on the modulation format can be explained, as the values of the discriminating peaks in $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau)$ are directly proportional to $\kappa_{d,4,2}$. Theoretical values of $\kappa_{d,4,2}$ are given in [1] for various unit variance constellations, and $\kappa_{d,4,2}$ equals -1 for QPSK and 8-PSK, and -0.68 and -0.619 for 16-QAM and 64-QAM, respectively [1].

Fig. 3 (c) presents P_c for various numbers of SC-FDMA blocks, N_B, with the interleaved ($\lambda = 2$) and localized ($\lambda = 512/312$) subcarrier mapping. One can notice that the identification performance is not affected by the mapping, as the discriminating peaks still occur. Furthermore, the performance of the proposed algorithm enhances with N_B, as more accurate estimates of the discriminating peaks in $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau)$ are achieved.

Table I presents the effect of the timing offset, μ, frequency offset, Δf, and Doppler frequency, $|f_d|$, on the average probability of correct identification, P_c for SNR=5, 10 dB. Note that the timing offset is normalized to the sampling interval [8], whereas the frequency offset and Doppler frequency are normalized to the subcarrier spacing. A slight degradation in the identification performance is observed at SNR=5 dB when μ increases. However, the performance is basically not affected by the timing offset at SNR= 10 dB. This is because the effect of timing offset can be modeled as an additional noise component that affects the discriminating peaks in $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau)$; the effect of such a noise component vanishes at high SNRs. It should be noted that when the timing offset is a multiple integer of the sampling interval, the discriminating peaks in $\mathcal{A}_r^{\mathrm{AL}}(\tau)$ are shifted by that offset, which does not affect the performance (results are not included here due to the space consideration). On the other hand, the performance drops for a normalized frequency offset beyond 10^{-4}. It should be mentioned that although the frequency offset does not affect the identification of SM SC-FDMA, it affects the identification of the AL SC-FDMA signal, as the discriminating peaks reduce when increasing the frequency offset. Hence, the algorithm requires accurate estimation and compensation of the carrier frequency recovery. A similar behavior is observed for $|f_d|$; a good performance is achieved for pedestrian mobility speeds.

TABLE I: P_c for different values of the normalized timing offset, μ; normalized frequency offset, Δf; and normalized Doppler frequency, $|f_d|$.

		μ		Δf		$\lvert f_d \rvert$	
		0.25	0.5	10^{-4}	5×10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10^{-3}
SNR (dB)	10	1	0.98	0.98	0.73	0.99	0.97
	5	0.94	0.92	0.96	0.63	0.98	0.93

VII. Conclusion

The identification of SM SC-FDMA and AL SC-FDMA signals was addressed in this paper for the single receive antenna scenario. A fourth-order statistic of the received signal was employed as a discriminating feature. It was shown that the proposed statistic exhibits statistically significant peaks for the AL SC-FDMA signal, while it does not for the SM SC-FDMA signal. Furthermore, a constant false alarm rate criterion was developed for decision-making. Analytical results for the identification performance of the proposed algorithm were derived. It was shown that simulation and theoretical findings match. The applicability of the proposed algorithm was proved through extensive simulations; this does not require estimation of noise power or channel coefficients, block timing synchronization, and modulation identification. Furthermore, it is robust to timing offset, while requiring carrier frequency recovery and being suitable for pedestrian mobility speeds. In future work, we plan to explore other test statistics, which are also applicable to identify STBCs with binary PSK, and are robust to the carrier frequency offset and mobility speeds.

References

[1] O. A. Dobre, A. Abdi, Y. Bar-Ness, and W. Su, "Survey of automatic modulation classification techniques: Classical approaches and new trends," *IET Commun.*, vol. 1, pp. 137–156, Apr. 2007.

[2] F. Hameed, O. Dobre, and D. Popescu, "On the likelihood-based approach to modulation classification," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 8, pp. 5884–5892, Dec. 2009.

[3] O. A. Dobre, A. Abdi, Y. Bar-Ness, and W. Su, "Blind modulation classification: a concept whose time has come," in *Proc. IEEE Sarnoff Symposium*, 2005, pp. 223–228.

[4] O. A. Dobre, "Signal identification for emerging intelligent radios: Classical problems and new challenges," *IEEE Instrum. Meas. Mag.*, vol. 18, pp. 11–18, Apr. 2015.

[5] K. Hassan, C. N. Nzeza, R. Gautier, E. Radoi, M. Berbineau, and I. Dayoub, "Blind detection of the number of transmitting antennas for spatially-correlated MIMO systems," in *Proc. IEEE ITST*, 2011, pp. 458–462.

[6] E. Ohlmer, T.-J. Liang, and G. Fettweis, "Algorithm for detecting the number of transmit antennas in MIMO-OFDM systems," in *Proc. IEEE VTC Spring*, 2008, pp. 478–482.

[7] V. Choqueuse, M. Marazin, L. Collin, K. C. Yao, and G. Burel, "Blind recognition of linear space–time block codes: A likelihood-based approach," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 58, pp. 1290–1299, Mar. 2010.

[8] V. Choqueuse, K. Yao, L. Collin, and G. Burel, "Hierarchical space-time block code recognition using correlation matrices," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 7, pp. 3526–3534, Sep. 2008.

[9] M. Mohammadkarimi and O. A. Dobre, "Blind identification of spatial multiplexing and Alamouti space-time block code via Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 18, pp. 1711–1714, Oct. 2014.

[10] Y. A. Eldemerdash, O. A. Dobre, and B. J. Liao, "Blind identification of SM and Alamouti STBC-OFDM signals," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 14, pp. 972–982, Feb. 2015.

[11] E. Karami and O. A. Dobre, "Identification of SM-OFDM and AL-OFDM signals based on their second-order cyclostationarity," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 64, pp. 942–953, Mar. 2015.

[12] A. Ghosh and R. Ratasuk, *Essentials of LTE and LTE-A*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.

[13] H. G. Myung and D. J. Goodman, *Single Carrier FDMA: A New Air Interface for Long Term Evolution*. Wiley, 2008.

[14] Q. Zhang, O. A. Dobre, Y. A. Eldemerdash, S. Rajan, and R. Inkol, "Second-order cyclostationarity of BT-SCLD signals: Theoretical developments and applications to signal classification and blind parameter estimation," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 12, pp. 1501–1511, Apr. 2013.

[15] S. M. Kay, *Fundamentals of Statistical Signal Processing: Estimation Theory*. Prentice Hall, 1993.

[16] P. Cantrell and A. Ojha, "Comparison of generalized q-function algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 33, pp. 591–596, Jul. 1987.